

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/616,333 | 07/09/2003 | Jerry Leroy Adams | P50203-1D1C1 | 1329 |
| 75 | 90 10/01/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| GLAXOSMITHKLINE | | | QAZI, SABIHA NAIM | |
| Corporate Intellectual Property - UW2220 P.O. Box 1539 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| King of Prussia, PA 19406-0939 | | | 1616 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED. 10/01/200 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary Examiner | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sabiha Qazi The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | | 10/616,333 | ADAMS ET AL. | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 09 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | · | Sabiha Qazi | 1616 | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | opears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 July 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | | | 1 | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s) <u>3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36</u> is/are pending in the application. | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statule Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing. | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABANCO. | e timely filed days will be considered timely, rom the mailing date of this communication. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | Status | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09. | July 2003. | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 3-5,7,10-12 and 14-36 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| , | Disposition of Claims | | · | | | | |
| | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,7,10-12 and 14</u> -36 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5, 7, 10-12, 14-36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examina | er. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 949) | | | | | | |
| State Paper No(s) Paper | Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) D Notice of Informal | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/616,333

Art Unit: 1616

First Office Action on Merits

Claims 3-5, 7, 10-12, and 14-36 are pending. No claim is allowed. Amendments are entered.

This invention is drawn to oxazole compounds of Formula (I) and pharmaceutical compositions comprising a compound of Formula (I) and a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent or carrier. This invention is also drawn to a method of inhibiting cytokines and the treatment of cytokine mediated diseases, in mammals, thereby by administration of an effective amount of a compound according to Formula (I).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 3-5, 7, 10-12, and 14-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in <u>In re Colianni</u>, 195 USPQ

Application/Control Number: 10/616,333

Art Unit: 1616

150, 153 (CCPA 1977), have been clarified by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in

Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986), and are summarized in In re Wands (858 F2d

731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed Cir. 1988). Among these factors are: (1) the nature of the

invention; (2) the state of the prior art; (3) the relative skill of those in the art; (4) the

predictability or unpredictability of the art; (5) the breadth of the claims; (6) the amount of

direction or guidance presented; (7) the presence or absence of working examples; and (8) the

quantity of experimentation necessary.

When the above factors are weighed, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the

art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

(1) The nature of the invention: The claims are drawn to oxazole compounds of

Formula (I) and pharmaceutical compositions comprising a compound of Formula (I) and a

pharmaceutically acceptable diluent or carrier. This invention is also drawn to a method of

inhibiting cytokines and the treatment of cytokine mediated diseases, in mammals, thereby by

administration of an effective amount of a compound according to Formula (I).

(2) The predictability or unpredictability of the art: There is lack of predictability in

the in the pharmaceutical art.

(3) The breadth of the claims: The claims are very broad; they include many diseases. It

is impossible to treat such a variety of diseases with such a broad class of compounds. Claim 35

claims to treat arthritis, rheumatoid adhritis, rheumatoid spondylitis, osteoadhritis, traumatic

Page 3

adhritis, rubella adhritis, acute synovitis, gouty adhritis and other adhritic conditions, gout, sepsis, septic shock, endotoxic shock, gram negative sepsis, toxic shock syndrome, diabetes, atherosclerosis, adult respiratory distress syndrome, cerebral malaria, chronic pulmonary inflammatory disease, silicosis, pulmonary sarcoisosis, bone resorption diseases, reperfusion injury, thrombosis, glomerulonepthritis, stroke, graft vs. host reaction, allograft rejections, fever and myalgias due to infection, cachexia secondary to infection or malignancy, cachexia secondary to acquired immune deficiency syndrome, keloid formation, scar tissue formation, eczema, psoriasis, Crohn's disease, inflammatory bowel diseases ulcerative colitis or pyretic.

(4) The amount of direction or guidance presented: There is no guidance in the disclosure on how to use the invention successfully for inhibiting cytokines and the treatment of cytokine mediated diseases in mammals.

In re Dreshfield, 110 F.2d 235, 45 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1940), gives this general rule: "It is well settled that in cases involving chemicals and chemical compounds, which differ radically in their properties it must appear in an applicant's specification either by the enumeration of a sufficient number of the members of a group or by other appropriate language, that the chemicals or chemical combinations included in the claims are capable of accomplishing the desired result."

The courts have further interpreted undue experimentation as requiring "ingenuity beyond that to be expected of one of ordinary skill in the art" (Fields v. Conover, 170 USPQ 276 (CCPA 1971)) or requiring an extended period of experimentation in the absence of sufficient direction or guidance (In re Colianni, 195 USPQ 150 (CCPA 1977)). Additionally, the courts

have determined that "... where a statement is, on its face, contrary to generally accepted scientific principles", a rejection for failure to teach how to make and/or use is proper (In re Marzocchi, 169 USPQ 367 (CCPA 1971).

(5) The presence or absence of working examples: There are no working examples and/or data to support the claimed invention. The disclosure does not contain any working examples. There are some assays and some techniques in the specification, but they are not useful in the treatment ALL of the said diseases or disorders as claimed.

The specification would not be able to place the claimed genus of compounds in possession of the public should a patent be eventually granted. The terms in the depicted Formula (I) corresponding to the elected invention alone include billions of compounds that would require more than routine experimentation to place them in possession of the public.

A disclosure should contain representative examples, which provide reasonable assurance to one skilled in the art that the compounds fall within the scope of a claim will possess the alleged activity. See In re Riat et al. (CCPA 1964) 327 F2d 685, 140 USPQ 471; In re Barr et al. (CCPA 1971) 444 F 2d 349, 151 USPQ 724.

(6) The quantity of experimentation necessary: Since there are no working examples, no data, and no guidance presented in the disclosure, one skilled in the art at the time of invention would have to go through undue experimentation to make and use the presently claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3-5, 7, 10, 17-19, 21, and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over SALMANN¹. SALMANN teaches trisubstituted oxazo compounds of Formula I, which embraces the presently claimed invention. See the entire document, especially Formula I on the first page.

Instant invention differs from the prior art in claiming a broader, more generic scope. One of the R1 or R2 in the instant invention represents pyrimidine, whereas the prior art teaches a heteroaryl group.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to prepare oxazole compounds of Formula (I) and pharmaceutical compositions comprising a compound of Formula (I) and a pharmaceutically acceptable diluent or carrier as well as a method of inhibiting cytokines and the treatment of cytokine mediated diseases, in mammals, thereby by administration of an effective amount of a compound according to Formula (I) because the prior art teaches trisubstituted oxazo compounds of Formula I, which embraces the presently claimed invention.

In the light of the forgoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the instant claims would have been obvious within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

¹ United Kingdom Patent Application GB 2123831 A; published on February 9, 1984.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,333

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The

examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Saturday, September 25, 2004

Page 8